








The Journal of
Operations Research, Statistics,
Econometrics and Management Information Systems

Owner	Bahadır Fatih YILDIRIM Faculty of Transportation and Logistics, Istanbul University
Responsible Manager	Sultan KUZU YILDIRIM School of Business, Istanbul University
Correspondence	 İ.Ü. Faculty of Transportation and Logistics Istanbul University Beyazıt Campus 34452 Fatih, İstanbul, Türkiye  https://alphanumericjournal.com/  editor@alphanumericjournal.com  +90 (212) 440 00 00 ext. 13219
E-ISSN	2148-2225
Publication Type	Periodical
Indexing & Abstracting	ULAKBIM TR Index EconLit DOAJ RePEC IDEAS ERIHPlus SOBIAD
	Authors bear responsibility for the content of their published articles. The publication language of the journal is English. This is a scholarly, international, peer-reviewed and open-access journal published annually in June and December.



The Journal of
Operations Research, Statistics,
Econometrics and Management Information Systems

Editors Assoc. Prof. Bahadır Fatih Yıldırım
Faculty of Transportation and Logistics, Istanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Sultan Kuzu Yıldırım
School of Business, Istanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Area Editors Prof. Özlem Yorulmaz
Faculty of Economics, Istanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Çağlar Karamaşa
Faculty of Business Administration, Anadolu University, Eskişehir, Türkiye

Language Editor Asst. Prof. Hüseyin Burgazoğlu
Sakarya Business School, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Türkiye

Res. Asst. Berke Akkaya
School of Business, Istanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Advisory Editors Prof. David McAllister
North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

Prof. Florentin Smarandache
University of New Mexico, New Mexico, USA

Prof. José Luis Alfaro Navarro
University of Castilla-La Mancha, Albacete, Spain

Prof. Lyn Thomas
University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

Prof. Myron Hlynka
University of Windsor, Ontario, Canada

Prof. Rocco Furferi
University of Florence, Firenze, Italy

Prof. Zeshui Xu
Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Assoc. Prof. Dragan Pamučar
University of Defence in Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Assoc. Prof. Lapo Governi
University of Florence, Firenze, Italy

Assoc. Prof. Rajmund Mirdala
Technical University of Košice, Košice, Slovak Republic

Assist. Prof. Konrad Kułakowski
AGH University of Science and Technology, Krakow, Poland

Associate Editors Prof. Bilge Acar Bolat
Istanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Burcu Adıgüzel Mercangöz
Istanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Cavit Yeşilyurt
Atatürk University, Erzurum, Türkiye

Prof. Çiğdem Arıcıgil Çılan
Istanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Edmundas Kazimieras Zavadskas
Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Vilnius, Lithuania

Prof. Ignacio Castillo
Wilfrid Laurier University, Ontario, Canada

Prof. Lazim Abdullah
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia

Prof. Miguel A. Goberna Torrent
University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain

Prof. Qinan Wang
Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

Prof. Tadashi Dohi
Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan

Assoc. Prof. Darjan Karabasevic
University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Belgrade, Serbia

Assoc. Prof. Jaya Bishwal
University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, USA

Assoc. Prof. Prasenjit Chatterjee
MCKV Institute of Engineering, Howrah, India

Assoc. Prof. S. Senthil
Kamaraj College of Eng. and Tech., Virudhunagar, India

Asst. Prof. Željko Stević
University of East Sarajevo, East Sarajevo, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Prof. Burak Güriş
Istanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Burcu Kiran Baygın
Istanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Cengiz Kahraman
Istanbul Technical University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Coşkun Hamzaçebi
Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Türkiye

**Associate
Editors**

Prof. Dilek Altaş

Marmara University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Ergün Eroğlu

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Hakan Demirgil

Süleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Türkiye

Prof. M. Erdal Balaban

İstanbul Gelisim University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Mehpare Timor

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Nilgün Çil

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Selim Başar

Anadolu University, Erzurum, Türkiye

Prof. Tunçhan Cura

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Ötügen Senger

Kafkas University, Kars, Türkiye

Prof. İlker Murat Ar

Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Ankara, Türkiye

Prof. Şadi Evren Şeker

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Emine Ebru Aksoy

Ankara Hacı Bayram Veli University, Ankara, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Esmâ Nur Çinicioğlu

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Nazif Çalış

Adıyaman University, Adıyaman, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Nihat Taş

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Sinan Saraçlı

Afyon Kocatepe University, Afyonkarahisar, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Çağlar Karamaşa

Anadolu University, Eskişehir, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Özlem Kuvat

Balıkesir University, Balıkesir, Türkiye

Asst. Prof. H. Zafer Yüksel

University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, USA

Asst. Prof. Mahmut Erdoğan

Gumushane University, Gumushane, Türkiye

Asst. Prof. Sümeyra Uzun

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Emrah Önder

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Fatih Ecer

Afyon Kocatepe University, Afyonkarahisar, Türkiye

Prof. Halim Kazan

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Mahmut Zortuk

Dumlupınar University, Kütahya, Türkiye

Prof. Necmi Gürsakal

Uludağ University, Bursa, Türkiye

Seda Tolun

Prof., İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Sona Mardikyan

Bogazici University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Umman Tuğba Şimşek Gürsoy

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. Özgür Çakır

Marmara University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Prof. İrfan Ertuğrul

Pamukkale University, Denizli, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Ahmet Aytekin

Artvin Coruh University, Artvin, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Erdoğan Öztürk

Karabük University, Karabük, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Fatih Çemrek

Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Eskişehir, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Neslihan Fidan Keçeci

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Oğuz Akbilgiç

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

Assoc. Prof. Yeliz Yalçın

Gazi University, Ankara, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Özgür M. Esen

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Assoc. Prof. Şebnem Akal İlkhan

Marmara University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Asst. Prof. L. Sinem Sarul

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Asst. Prof. Mustafa Can

İstanbul University, İstanbul, Türkiye

Asst. Prof. Şebnem Er

University of Cape Town, Cape Town, Republic of South Africa

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

alphanumeric follows the COPE Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors and the Code of Conduct for Journal Publishers. In addition, as a journal that follows the procedure suggested in Elsevier Publishing Ethics Resource Kit (PERK) in order to reach a decision or conclusion, in case of any suspicions of unethical behavior appear. It is expected of authors, reviewers and editors that they follow the best-practice guidelines on ethical behavior contained therein.

Author's responsibility	iv
Consent to participate in peer review process	iv
Reporting standards	iv
Data Access and Retention	iv
Originality and Plagiarism	iv
Multiple, Redundant or Concurrent Publication	iv
Acknowledgement of Sources	iv
Authorship of the Paper	iv
Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest	iv
For papers that require Ethics Committee Approval	iv
Reviewer's responsibility	iv
Contribution to Editorial Decisions	iv
Expertize and promptness	v
Confidentiality	v
Standards of Objectivity	v
Acknowledgement of Sources	v
Disclosure and Conflict of Interest	v
Editorial responsibility	v
Fair play	v
Confidentiality	v
Publishing ethics issues	v
Identification of unethical behavior	v
Investigation	v
Minor breaches	v
Serious breaches	v

Author's responsibility

Consent to participate in peer review process

When submitting an article for publishing in *alphanumeric*, the authors are obliged to participate in peer review process.

Reporting standards

Authors' manuscripts of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

Data Access and Retention

When submitting their article for publication in *alphanumeric*, the authors confirm the statement that all data in article are real and authentic. Authors should be prepared to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data, if practicable, and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication. In addition, all authors are obliged to provide retractions or corrections of mistakes if any.

Originality and Plagiarism

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

alphanumeric uses *iThenticate* and *Intihal.net* softwares to detect plagiarism. If the plagiarism problem is detected, the editorial board will notify the corresponding author and prevent the work from being published in *alphanumeric*.

For more details please visit Plagiarism Policy page.

Multiple, Redundant or Concurrent Publication

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship of the Paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed. Fundamental errors in published works: When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.

For papers that require Ethics Committee Approval

Ethics committee approval must be obtained for studies conducted in all disciplines if the studies requiring an ethical committee decision. The researches requiring the permission of the Ethics Committee are as follows:

- Any research carried out with qualitative or quantitative approaches that require data collection from participants using survey, interview, focus group work, observation, experiment, interview techniques.
- Use of humans and animals (including material / data) for experimental or other scientific purposes,
- Clinical researches on humans,
- Researches on animals,
- Retrospective studies in accordance with the law of protection of personal data,

Reviewer's responsibility

Contribution to Editorial Decisions

Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper.

Expertize and promptness

On being approached to review, the peer reviewers should only agree to review manuscripts for which they have the subject expertise required to carry out a proper assessment and which they can assess in a timely manner. Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of Objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively, and their judgments should be objective. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers. In addition, peer reviewers should be aware of all other detailed COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers and use them in the review process.

Editorial responsibility

The editors of the journal have complete responsibility and authority to reject/accept an article. They are responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published. The editors may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The can only accept a paper when reasonably certain. In case of any doubt, the editor may confer with other editors or the editorial board in making this decision. In case when errors are found in a published article, the editors should promote publication of correction or retraction.

Fair play

An editor will at any time evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Confidentiality

The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate. The editors are also obliged to preserve anonymity of reviewers. Disclosure and conflicts of interest Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author. Editors should have no conflict of interest with respect to articles they reject/accept.

Publishing ethics issues

The Editorial board is obliged to monitoring/safeguarding publishing ethics in the review process. In case of noticing any unethical behavior in the review process, errors, or omissions, anyone should report it to the editor or the publisher who are responsible on solving the issue. In case of any suspicions of unethical behavior appear, the procedure suggested in Elsevier Publishing Ethics Resource Kit (PERK) will be followed in order to reach a decision or conclusion. Main steps of the procedure are:

Identification of unethical behavior

Misconduct and unethical behavior may be identified and brought to the attention of the editor or publisher at any time, by anyone. Whoever informs the editor or publisher of such conduct should provide sufficient information and evidence in order for an investigation to be initiated. All allegations should be taken seriously and treated in the same way, until a decision or conclusion is reached.

Investigation

An initial decision should be taken by the editor, who should consult with or seek advice from the Editorial board or the publisher, if appropriate. Evidence should be gathered, while avoiding spreading any allegations beyond those who need to know.

Minor breaches

Minor misconduct might be dealt with between the editor and the author, without the need to consult more widely. In any event, the author should be given the opportunity to respond to any allegations. If the author responds and is willing to correct the misconduct, the review process may continue.

Serious breaches

In case of serious misconduct, the editor should notify the author, and withdraw the paper from the further procedure of the review process. If the paper is already published, the withdrawal notification should be published at the web page of the journal, sent to author, and in some serious cases the employers of the accused should be notified. The editor, in consultation with the Editorial board or the publisher, should make the decision whether or not to involve the employers, either by examining the available evidence themselves or by further consultation with a limited number of experts.

Contents

	Research Article	
Evaluation of the effect of mobile applications on corporate reputation with artificial intelligence through user comments: E-Government case		37
	Mehmet Kayakuş, Dilşad Erdoğan	
	Research Article	
Rank reversal on entropy-based weighting methods		55
	Osman Pala	
	Research Article	
Selection of electric motors for automated guided vehicles within the framework of technology management		77
	Kamil Bircan	
	Research Article	
Determining how application type moderates Gen Z consumers' intentions to switch to paid mobile services: A study of the Push-Pull-Mooring Framework		99
	Selen Öztürk	
	Research Article	
Re-evaluation of the TÜBİTAK Entrepreneurial and Innovative University Index using objective weighting methods		137
	Seda Karakaş Geyik	